

Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education

Quality Education and HIV&AIDS



UNAIDS
JOINT UNITED NATIONS PROGRAMME ON HIV/AIDS

UNHCR
UNICEF
WFP
UNDP
UNFPA

UNODC
ILO
UNESCO
WHO
WORLD BANK

IATT Members and Contributors

The IATT includes as members the UNAIDS Co-sponsoring agencies, bi-lateral agencies, private donors, and civil society organizations involved in supporting education sector responses to HIV and AIDS.

Current members and contributors include:

- Academy for Educational Development (AED)
- ActionAid/Global Campaign for Education (GCE)
- American Institutes for Research (AIR)
- Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA)
- Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)
- Department for International Development (U.K.) (DFID)
- Education Development Center (EDC)
- Education International (EI)
- European Commission (EC)
- Ford Foundation
- International Labour Organization (ILO)
- Irish Aid
- Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS)
- Nelson Mandela Foundation
- Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs
- Partnership for Child Development (PCD)
- Swedish International Development Agency (SIDA)
- United Nations Children Fund (UNICEF)
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)
- United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)
- United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)
- United States Agency for International Development (USAID)
- University of KwaZulu-Natal, Health Economics and Research Division (HEARD)
- University of London, Institute of Education
- University of New South Wales, National Centre in HIV Social Research
- University of Pretoria, Centre for the Study of AIDS
- University Putra Malaysia, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
- World Health Organization (WHO)
- World Bank
- World Food Programme (WFP)

Quality Education and HIV&AIDS

UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education

May 2006

Table of contents

Preface	4
Acknowledgements	4
Acronyms	5
Executive summary	6
Introduction	8
A framework for quality education and HIV & AIDS	11
Practical applications	21
Implications and conclusions	24
Endnotes	30
Bibliography	31
Annex: Matrix on current practice in relation to quality education and HIV & AIDS	39

Preface

This paper uses a framework for quality education to show how education systems can and must change in their analysis and conduct in relation to HIV and AIDS. It summarises the 10 dimensions of the framework, considers how HIV and AIDS manifests itself in relation to these quality dimensions and summarises some practical applications of how education has responded and can respond to the pandemic from a quality perspective. A more detailed annex to the paper provides evidence on the manifestations of the pandemic on education systems, and how systems have responded in practical ways. Some general conclusions are drawn and a final section promotes some practical and strategic actions in support of quality education that reflects and responds to HIV and AIDS.

The paper was developed for the UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. The IATT is convened by UNESCO and includes as members the UNAIDS Co-sponsoring agencies, bi-lateral agencies, private donors, and civil society. The IATT aims to accelerate and improve the education sector response to HIV and AIDS. It has as specific objectives to promote and support good practices in the education sector in relation to HIV and AIDS and to encourage alignment and harmonisation within and across agencies to support global and country-level actions. The IATT seeks to achieve these objectives by: strengthening the evidence base and disseminating findings to inform decision-making and strategy development, encouraging information and materials exchange, and working jointly to bridge the education and AIDS communities and ensure a stronger education sector response to HIV and AIDS.

Acknowledgements

This paper was written by Mary Joy Pigozzi, Director of UNESCO's Division for the Promotion of Quality Education, and UNESCO's HIV and AIDS Global Coordinator on behalf of the UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education.

The author wishes to thank, in particular, Kaori Adachi, Peter Aggleton, Eric Allemano, Delia Barcelona, Jean Bernard, Emanuel Blavo, Chris Castle, Alexandra Draxler, Jack Jones, Malika Ladjali, Christine Panchaud, and Sheldon Shaeffer for their feedback on earlier drafts of the paper, and the IATT for its financial support for the preparation of an evidence review by Justine Sass of existing data on HIV and AIDS and quality education that contributed to the paper.

The author acknowledges the contribution of staff in the Section for an Improved Quality of Life, Division for the Promotion of Quality Education, UNESCO, who made suggestions and comments, and who reviewed various drafts.

Design and layout of this document were undertaken by Aurélia Mazoyer.

Irish Aid provided financial support for the publication of this report.

Acronyms

AIDS	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
ART	Anti-Retroviral Therapy
CBO	Community-Based Organization
DHS	Demographic and Health Survey
EFA	Education for All
FRESH	Focusing Resources on Effective School Health
HIV	Human Immunodeficiency Virus
HEARD	Health Economics and HIV and AIDS Research Division
IATT	Inter-Agency Task Team
IIEP	International Institute for Educational Planning
ILO	International Labour Organization
MICS	Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey
MTT	Mobile Task Team
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
PTA	Parent Teacher Association
STI	Sexually Transmitted Infection
UNAIDS	Joint United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
WHO	World Health Organization

Executive summary

This paper presents a framework for quality education that demonstrates how education systems can and must change their operations in relation to HIV and AIDS. Effective learning is critical, in particular the relationship between the learner and the educator. But the inputs, processes, results and outcomes that surround and foster, or hamper, learning are key as well. These factors can be seen as affecting learning at two levels – **at the level of the learner** and at the **level of the learning system**. The paper divides each of these levels into five dimensions and demonstrates how each must consider the HIV and AIDS pandemic.

At the level of the learner, the paper recommends that education systems promote quality education which:

- **Seeks out learners**, particularly children and adolescents affected or infected by HIV and AIDS. This may require working with their families and communities to help nurture a supportive environment. Above all, education needs to be attractive to engage and maintain learners.
- **Acknowledges what the learner brings** such as previously uncommon experiences and backgrounds which may both enhance and/or hinder his/her and others' learning. These could include family or income-generating responsibilities or psychological trauma, increased poverty and/or diminished health status.
- **Considers the content of formal and non-formal learning** to include appropriate and relevant education about HIV and AIDS that is age- and sex-specific. New approaches to content and curricula must provide effective teaching on HIV transmission and prevention, including negotiation and decision-making skills to help young people avoid unwanted sex or unsafe situations, and addressing sensitive issues such as sex and sexuality. This also provides a window of opportunity to improve

school health programming and introduce educational programmes on anti-retroviral therapy (ART).

- **Enhances learning processes** to ensure children and adults are equipped with the knowledge, values, capacities and behaviours to take decisions that are in the best interest of themselves and others. Stigma and discrimination must be addressed so that all learners have the same possibility to learn, regardless of sex, age, religion, HIV status, sexual orientation or family background.
- **Provides a conducive learning environment** through a rights-based framework that provides effective responses to pervasive forms of violence, establishes hygiene and sanitation facilities available to all and, if possible, health and nutrition services in the vicinity. The environment should be open and facilitate effective teaching.

At the level of the learning system, the paper recommends that education systems promote quality education which:

- **Structures management and administration to support learning**, with 'upstream' policy frameworks, strong leadership and sustained advocacy. Well-run schools and other learning spaces that are open, transparent and flexible provide a foundation to address difficult issues. Teachers and others in the education system who are affected or infected by HIV also need safe, secure and supportive environments.
- **Implements relevant and appropriate policies** addressing issues of inclusion and discrimination. These may include, for example, a code of conduct for teachers and disciplinary procedures for sexual relationships between teachers and students. Such policies should be publicised as well as have mechanisms to implement and enforce them, with teachers and students being involved in setting and respecting them.

- **Promotes the establishment of legislation supportive to learning**, with legal frameworks covering all aspects of the relationship between HIV and AIDS and education systems – to ensure the right of education for all. Equity concerns should be addressed, possibly through legally-initiated affirmative action.
- **Restructures resources for learning** – whether they be financial, personnel and/or time. From the ministry to the school level, resources will be needed for reviewing, updating, disseminating and implementing relevant policies, along with the means to monitor and assess their effectiveness.
- **Measures learning outcomes**, being aware of their multifaceted nature (i.e. knowledge, skills or competencies, values or behaviours). A number of systems already exist, although the challenge remains to work towards a system which is fair and does not make it possible to label or discriminate against those affected or infected by HIV.

The paper includes a matrix (see Appendix) with concrete examples of how education systems have responded to HIV and AIDS. It also demonstrates that there are significant data and practice gaps including, for example, **data on the impact of HIV on education systems and educators**, disaggregated by age, sex, ethnicity and socio-economic background. While much is known about the impact of HIV and AIDS on education systems in the hardest-hit areas of the world (notably sub-Saharan Africa), there is less evidence in other areas. At the same time, national statistics may mask significant local variations. More information is also needed on **legal and policy frameworks** that have successfully addressed inclusion and discrimination, the status of teachers and other educational staff affected or infected by

HIV, and all forms of violence in education. More **baseline assessments** are required, as well as **reliable and valid data collection** on a routine basis, particularly to unpack the complex impacts of multiple interventions.

The paper concludes with a number of implications and conclusions. These include the need to **overcome the denial that HIV and AIDS is priority for education**. Individuals must recognise the impact of the pandemic on their daily lives and institutions and systems need to change to be both reactive and responsive to HIV and AIDS. There must be a **focus on inclusion in education** with a rights-based learning environment, which may involve efforts to make schools more affordable and accessible. It is important to **recognise that gender issues are key to the problem**, with growing evidence showing that these influence transmission, infection and impact of HIV and AIDS. **Education sector staff practices and preparation should be emphasised**. Educators need to understand their responsibilities as teachers, mentors and role models, and may need to change both their classroom behaviours as well as their interactions with communities, parents and educational leaders. Policies are also needed to protect teachers and other school staff in the workplace. There is a need to **acknowledge that curriculum is far more than what is taught**; learning also comes from informal education and observation, practice, hearing, praise and ‘body language’. **Treatment education should also be introduced as a priority**, linking to comprehensive prevention, care and treatment interventions. Several initiatives, such as counselling and general health education, deserve special attention, analysis and emphasis. Finally it is necessary to **identify and reinforce elements of education plans that take account of HIV and AIDS**.

Introduction



The UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education promotes quality education as a human right and supports a rights-based approach to the implementation of all educational activities. If education is based on a commitment to rights, then it must embody rights in its conduct. This has implications when one considers the obligation of countries to provide a quality education for all—including those infected and affected by HIV and AIDS. Because of the nature of the pandemic, those who are uninfected are also viewed as being affected.


The topic is even more salient due to national governments', civil society groups', and development agencies' commitments to Education for All (EFA), as goal number six refers to addressing all aspects of the quality of education so that recognised and measurable learning outcomes are achieved by all, especially in literacy, numeracy and essential life skills (UNESCO 2000). A quality education focuses on learning.

The primary concern is learning and, therefore, the relationship between the learner and the educator is critical. But the inputs, processes, results and outcomes that surround and foster, or hamper, learning are key as well. All of these can be seen as affecting learning at two levels—at the level of the learner in her or his learning environment (adult or child, formal or non-formal) and at the level of the system that creates and supports the learning experience.¹ Each of these two levels can be divided into five dimensions. These ten dimensions of quality education are presented in this pa-

per to demonstrate how each of these dimensions can and must take the HIV and AIDS pandemic into account.²

The following figure summarises the quality framework, representing a shift of emphasis from ‘educating’ to ‘learning.’ Learning is at the centre, and it is surrounded by two levels. The inner one is that of the level of the learner and the outer one is the level of the learning system. Both of these levels operate within a specific context which can vary considerably from location to location.

Figure 1: From Educating to Learning – Framework for Considering HIV&AIDS and Quality Education



Quality education at the level of the learner:

1. **Seeks out learners** – from households affected by HIV and AIDS through creative ways, working with them, their families and communities to support learning and fulfil the right to education.
2. **Acknowledges what the learner brings** – to take into account the experiences of learners to enhance their own and others learning.
3. **Considers the content of formal and non-formal learning** – including factual and comprehensive content on HIV and AIDS that is age- and sex-specific, and introduced in the context of practical life skills on how to protect and respect oneself and others.
4. **Enhances learning processes** – with emphasis on inclusion, participation and dialogue. Stigma and discrimination from classmates, teachers, parents and communities must be avoided and addressed so it does not exclude children from AIDS-affected households from learning.
5. **Provides a conducive learning environment** – with the goal of ensuring safe, secure and supportive schools and other learning environments. This includes addressing all forms of violence, providing adequate hygiene and sanitation facilities, and ensuring access to health and nutrition services.

Quality education at the level of learning system:

1. **Structures management and administration to support learning** – through the promotion of openness and transparency to allow a dialogue on HIV and AIDS and the right of all to learn and have access to education.
2. **Implements relevant and appropriate policies** – that are the foundation for safe, secure and supportive learning environments and that take account of the epidemic.
3. **Promotes the establishment of legislation supportive to learning** – through a legislative framework supporting the right to education covering all aspects of the relationship between HIV and AIDS and education.
4. **Restructures resources for learning** – bearing in mind the increasing demands caused by HIV and AIDS on human and financial resources to ensure the provision of education for all.
5. **Measures learning outcomes** – to work towards a fair system of education without inadvertently discriminating against those affected by HIV and AIDS.



A framework for quality education and HIV & AIDS

It is now well established that HIV and AIDS is significantly affecting the supply of, demand for, and quality of education. Countries heavily affected by HIV and AIDS are experiencing severe losses in their teaching forces due to teacher illness or death, to care for family, or through transfers to other government or private sectors to replace personnel lost to AIDS (UNAIDS IATT on Education 2002).

At the same time, children and adolescents are finding it more difficult to attend and remain in school for the same reasons, and because they may be

needed to help with household chores or to supplement family labour or income. Even uninfected teachers are often poorly equipped to deal with the impact of the pandemic on their work (Carr-Hill 2002). These dynamics place enormous strain on learning achievement, requiring reconsideration of what must be done to protect and support educational quality, and to maintain progress towards the achievement of EFA goals. All educators need to ensure that education reduces risk and vulnerability while providing all learners with a quality education that is meaningful in the 21st Century.

At the level of the learner

The question of quality can be looked at specifically from the perspective of those affected or infected by HIV and AIDS. From the perspective of the learner, there are a number of dimensions to consider, including the needs and perspectives of those with HIV or who come from AIDS-affected households, children who have lost one or both parents to AIDS, what is taught in school regarding HIV and AIDS, and how these issues intersect with all aspects of the learning environment.


1 Seeks out learners

Children and adolescents affected or infected by HIV and AIDS are among the most disadvantaged. They are more likely to be subjected to the worst forms of child labour, and often have less access to education due to increased poverty and lack of parental support.

The impact may begin when a parent is diagnosed with HIV or falls ill with AIDS-related infections or diseases. For example, in a study of children and adolescents aged 13 to 18 with one or both parents living with HIV in Uganda, there was a decline in school attendance of 26 percent, and a reported decline in educational performance of 28 percent (Gilborn *et al.* 2001).

Orphaned children are often more likely to drop out of school or to repeat grades. In a UNICEF review of Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS) and Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) from 1997 to 2001, orphans aged 10 to 14 in all 14 countries studied were less likely to still be in school than children of the same age with both parents still alive or those living with at least one parent (see Figure 2). In Malawi, a recent study found that repetition rates for children were 5 to 15 percent higher (depending on cohort and grade) than for children with living parents. Repetition increases class size, reduces efficiency, and can put girls at risk when older boys join the class (Harris and Schubert 2001).

Figure 2: Secondary School Attendance by Orphanhood Status in Selected Countries



Source: UNICEF 2002a

As there is evidence that if orphans have guardians they are more likely to participate in education, there is a need to consider how education can work with

other institutions to address this issue (Ainsworth and Filmer 2002, UNAIDS IATT on Education 2003). Schools must find creative ways of seeking out children from households affected by HIV and AIDS, working with learners and their families, extended families and communities to play their part in the creation of a supportive environment for children affected by HIV and AIDS, one that can support these children to remain in school, learn and fulfil their right to education.

The pandemic is also affecting the numbers of potential learners. While increases in school-age population are expected to continue in most countries, estimates by the US Census Bureau suggest that there will be a reduction in the school-age population in 6 of the 26 countries worst affected by AIDS by 2015 (World Bank 2002). The World Bank has projected that Zimbabwe will experience a 24 percent reduction in primary school population by 2010. In Zambia the differential will be 20 percent, while Kenya and Uganda will face 14 percent and 12 percent reductions, respectively (Goliber 2000). In Swaziland, the Ministry of Education estimated in 1999 that the number of six year olds was 6 percent lower than it would have been in the absence of AIDS. The same study estimated that by 2016, there would be 30 percent fewer six year olds and 17 percent fewer 18 year olds (Carr-Hill 2002).

The pandemic is responsible for a certain level of scepticism regarding the value of education caused by hopelessness and fatalism among students and their families and communities. Thus, education needs, more than ever, to be attractive in order to engage and maintain learners.



2 Acknowledges what the learner brings

Learners with HIV or coming from homes where one or more family members has HIV often bring to the learning environment a range of experiences that were previously less common. They may also have increased familial responsibilities and participate in income generating activities to supplement family income and labour. An estimated ten percent of all children orphaned by HIV and AIDS in Africa are heads of households and caring for siblings (Rau 2002). These learners may have very high levels of competence and responsibility due to the demands that have been placed on them to support and care for their families, and skills such as work-related skills and household management. These experiences can enhance their and others' learning.

At the same time, children affected by or orphaned due to HIV and AIDS bring another set of challenges. Millions of children have already lost one or both parents due to AIDS, and UNICEF estimates that 11 million of these children are under the age of 15 and living in sub-Saharan Africa (UNICEF 2003). They are often disadvantaged in numerous ways, from having experienced the psychological trauma of witnessing one or both parents' death,

separation from siblings, to increased poverty and diminished health status compared to non-orphans.

Education systems must also take into account the increasing numbers of learners with HIV. UNAIDS estimates that at the end of 2005, 2.3 million children under age 15 were living with HIV and AIDS (UNAIDS 2005). Projections suggest that unless dramatic measures are taken, an additional 45 million will become infected before 2010 (UNAIDS 2003). In sub-Saharan Africa, adolescent girls are the most affected segment of the population. In a number of countries, including Ethiopia, Malawi, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe, five to six girls in the 15 to 19 year old age range are infected for every one boy of the same age while in Trinidad and Tobago, infection rates among females aged 15 to 19 are five times that of boys of the same age (UNICEF, UNAIDS, WHO 2002). HIV is spreading fastest in this group through "age mixing," in which girls have sexual relationships with older men, but also because of greater biological susceptibility, lack of financial security, forced and early marriage, rape and sexual abuse and gender inequality.



"Education is a crucial, and currently essential, element in society's armoury against HIV transmission. It is a necessary, though not sufficient, component in all prevention activities."

(Kelly 2000a cited in Coombe 2003)

3 Considers the content of formal and non-formal learning

The epidemic is forcing teacher trainers and curriculum planners to reassess what is being taught on the subject of HIV and AIDS. Appropriate and relevant education about HIV and AIDS that is age- and sex-specific must be considered. Students, families, communities, teachers, and administrators understand that the seriousness of the epidemic requires new thinking about the educational content of HIV and AIDS curricula. Where there may have been resistance in the past to broaching sensitive issues such as sex and sexuality, there is now compelling evidence of the need to teach about HIV and AIDS in the context of how transmission occurs, how it can be prevented, and including negotiation and decision-making skills to help young people avoid unwanted sex or unsafe situations.³

While half of new HIV infections occur in youth aged 15 to 24, prevalence rates are lowest among those in the 5 to 14 year age group (UNAIDS 2005). Therefore, addressing children and youth during this age period is a real “window of hope” for preventing the spread of HIV (World Bank 2002). There is further evidence that HIV and AIDS education taught before young people become sexually active does not result in an earlier age of sexual debut, and in fact it may have the opposite effect of delaying the initiation of sexual activity and encouraging protective behaviour upon sexual initiation (Kirby 2001, Alford *et al.* 2003, UNAIDS 1997). Schools and other learning places have an obligation to equip students with these facts and skills for life, including how to avoid coercive and unwanted sex.⁴ The challenge remains of finding the most effective way to bring this learning into the curriculum, and there is now a strong argument not to integrate HIV and AIDS education across subjects as it tends to get too diffused and, therefore, is not sufficiently effective.⁵

The HIV and AIDS pandemic present an opportunity to improving school health programming and creating health-promoting schools, going beyond a focus on HIV and AIDS. In this regard, attention is drawn to

the multi-partner initiative Focusing Resources on Effective School Health (FRESH).⁶ FRESH calls for coordinated activities in four key areas: school policy development, school environment (including safe water and sanitation), skills-based health education, and school-based health and nutrition services.⁷ School health programming, for example, can address poor health and nutrition including micronutrient deficiencies such as iron, iodine and vitamin A that affect cognitive functioning.

The growing possibility that ART will be more universally available means that there must be ongoing related educational programmes. These programmes must ensure that communities learn about the implications of the treatment, emphasise the need to maintain safe behaviours even after starting treatment, and reinvigorate efforts to get people to learn their HIV status as a key entry point for treatment initiatives. ART and treatment education, in turn, put additional pressure on the need to step up prevention education as there is





evidence that treatment can mask the presence of the disease and lull people into a complacency that allows practices that encourage transmission (UNAIDS IATT on Education 2006).

It is clear that many learners have to work to keep themselves and those close to them alive. Thus, issues such as entrepreneurship and vocational training need to be addressed. In addition, much of what was learnt in homes such as customs and traditional knowledge could be lost if education systems do not consider how to ensure that this is passed to the next generation.

Education systems must also target parents and extended families for adult learning programmes that encourage them to communicate openly, positively and accurately on HIV and AIDS. Re-

search in a number of countries has revealed that many parents want to talk to young people about sex, but do not feel that they have the appropriate skills to do so. The establishment of parental education programmes, parent teacher associations (PTAs), parents' education committees, and the involvement of parents in curriculum development can improve parents' own HIV-related knowledge and encourage support of school-based and non-formal education for their children.

The HIV and AIDS pandemic also provides an opportunity to deliver education on solidarity and values that are important to fight all kinds of stigma and discrimination, therefore contributing to promoting a peaceful coexistence in a rapidly changing world.

4 Enhances learning processes

The importance of life skills approaches is critical.⁸ Life skills approaches require that educational processes be consistent with what is being taught. Children and adults must be equipped with the knowledge, values, capacities and behaviours to take decisions that are in the best interest of themselves and others.

Learners with HIV or coming from homes where one or more family members has HIV often suffer stigma and discrimination from classmates and teachers, or from parents and communities who have sometimes loudly protested the idea that these students should be in the same classroom with the same rights as other children.



5 Provides a conducive learning environment

Through a rights-based framework, members of the IATT on Education are expanding how schools and non-formal education conceptualise and approach the learning environment in ways that include consideration of HIV and AIDS. This includes effective responses to pervasive forms of violence, the establishment of adequate hygiene and sanitation facilities accessible to all, and support for linkages with health and nutrition services in the vicinity. The goal is to ensure safe, secure and supportive learning environments.

The HIV and AIDS pandemic has put the spotlight on violence in education, particularly gender-based violence. Violence in all of its forms—any action with the intention of causing emotional or physical harm to a person—will clearly affect learning and may increase the vulnerability of learners to HIV and AIDS. Often directed at people because of their sex, ethnic identity, perceived sexual orientation, or physical and mental abilities, violence in learning places can take the form of bullying, verbal abuse and name-calling, sexual coercion, and abuse including rape and physical harm. The perpetrators may often be other stu-

dents, but can also include teacher and other education staff. The particular vulnerability of girls with regard to the range of violence they may experience must continue to be highlighted.

Numerous instances have been documented of children affected by HIV experiencing a range of subtle and more obvious forms of violence, from rejection, name-calling and physical aggression, to not being able to participate in physical education or share sanitary facilities, to the denial of education.

A number of IATT members have supported approaches to address violence in schools. For example, UNESCO's Associated Schools Project Network has held campaigns against violence in schools, conducted studies on youth and violence, promoted conflict mediation, and undertaken efforts to document problems associated with corporal punishment in schools and the elaboration of alternative forms of maintaining discipline in the classroom.⁹ UNICEF has also held regional consultations on violence against children. In a meeting in Slovenia in 2005, for example, participants from




This is manifest in physical and visible ways, but also in more insidious psycho-socially damaging practices. Thus, how all learners, and particularly those infected or affected by HIV, are treated is a key component of quality education.

Differential treatment of children affected by HIV begins to put forward the notion at an early age that people affected by HIV do not have the same rights as others, and this must not be tolerated. A quality education is one that seeks to ensure that all learners, regardless of sex, age, religion, HIV status, sexual orientation or family background, for example, have the same possibility to learn.

all of the countries of the European and Central Asian Region identified steps to take at domestic and regional levels to address violence against children.¹⁰

Effective teaching about sexuality, sexually transmitted infections (STIs) including HIV, and HIV prevention requires an open, facilitating environment. This is difficult to achieve in traditional classrooms. Besides the power differential and distance between teachers (adults) and pupils (often children and adolescents), the search for the 'right' answers for the exam is what often drives teaching on HIV prevention.

The establishment of adequate hygiene and sanitation facilities is also key to the learning environment. About 1 in 10 school-age African girls do not attend school during menstruation or drop out at puberty because of the lack of clean and private sanitation facilities in schools (UN World Water Assessment Programme 2003). Moreover, there is evidence that when children have to leave school and walk significant distances for clean drinking water, for example, they may not always return to class.



At the level of the learning system

At the system level, five dimensions are concerned with regard to educational quality and HIV and AIDS. These include school and education programme management and transparency, relevant and appropriate policies and practices, legal aspects, resources, and implications related to measuring learning outcomes.

1 Structures management and administration to support learning

School level and other learning activities are 'downstream' operations and must be supported by 'upstream' policy frameworks, strong leadership and sustained advocacy. Well-run schools and other learning spaces make it possible to bring difficult issues into the open, a key first step to addressing them. The UNESCO Office in Brazil has had significant success supporting a programme called "Making Room" designed to foster social inclusion and to instil a 'culture of peace' within classrooms as a response to high rates of violence. Such initiatives rely on well-managed schools where parents, students, teachers and administrators can come together to identify and seek solutions for problems in school, with violence often topping the list.

In settings highly impacted by HIV and AIDS, this openness and transparency will allow for a dialogue on how the learning place can play its part in the response to the epidemic. For example,

there might be a need to alter the school timetable to accommodate the work responsibilities of children who head households, or to identify ways to provide childcare so that older siblings can participate in educational activities. A study in Ethiopia, for example, found that schools that began and ended the day earlier than usual and scheduled breaks during harvest time had improvements in students' continuation and achievement rates. The author concluded "the quality of a school and the quality of teaching of the individual teacher is higher in schools that are able (and willing) to make more efficient use of the available time of its teachers and its pupils" (Verwimp 1999).

Teachers and others in the education system who are affected or infected by HIV must be able to function professionally in a safe, secure, and supportive environment as well. They also bring to the system their own experiences, both positive and difficult, which will influence how learning occurs.

2 Implements relevant and appropriate policies

Having relevant and appropriate overall policies in place are an essential foundation for safe and secure schools, and will help to address issues relating to HIV and AIDS. However, in light of the pandemic, many policies may need to be reviewed to ensure that they take sufficient account of the relationships between the pandemic and education systems. For example, attention needs to be paid to issues of inclusion and discrimination, the status of teachers and other education staff affected or infected by HIV and AIDS, and violence, in all its forms, in education. The establishment of a code of conduct for teachers and disciplinary procedures for sexual relationships between teachers and students should also be encouraged.

Typically ministries of education set these policies; however, these may not be widely known and understood by all, particularly in the learning place. Therefore, a helpful starting point is to raise awareness among administrators, teachers

and students about these school policies. The next step is to ensure that there are mechanisms to implement and enforce the policies, since it is pointless to have rules and procedures if they are not observed.

Some of the more successful efforts to promote, implement, and enforce appropriate policies are those that have built the broad involvement of teachers and students in setting and respecting them. Taking a rights-based approach to review and elaborate school policies on violence and HIV and AIDS, for example, will help to ensure inclusiveness and respect. Such policies should cover not only students but also teachers affected by HIV and AIDS, and should leave no room for the tolerance of any form of violence or exclusion. Clearly all school policies will need to be consistent with national laws and legislation, which themselves should be regularly reviewed and updated to ensure relevancy (UNAIDS IATT on Education 2006).



3 Promotes the establishment of legislation supportive to learning

As previously noted legal frameworks supporting the right to education, defined broadly, should cover all aspects of the relationship between HIV and AIDS and education systems. They may need to be reviewed, however, to ensure that they do provide for all to fulfil their right to education.

In many instances there is a need for compensatory action to ensure equality of opportunity—that is, equity concerns. Current data and practice in an increasing number of countries, suggest that there might be a very strong case for affirmative action, initiated legally, to ensure educational opportunities for those affected and infected by HIV.

4 Restructures resources for learning

The HIV and AIDS pandemic is, in many locations, placing increasing demands on resources to ensure the provision of education to all. These demands are not only on financial resources, although these are significant, but also on personnel and time. Some important work is being carried out on the impact of HIV and AIDS on education systems by UNESCO's Institute for International Educational Planning (IIEP) and the Health Economics and HIV and AIDS (HEARD)'s Mobile Task Team (MTT) of the University of Kwa Zulu Natal.¹¹ As the body of knowledge grows in this area, ministries and education personnel are increasingly in a position to make data-based decisions with regard to resource allocation.

There is no question that any serious national response to HIV and AIDS will require additional resources for education, as well as for other

sectors. These resources need to be distributed throughout the system. For example, ministries, district education offices, teacher service commissions, and schools must have the resources to support a process of reviewing, updating, disseminating and implementing relevant policies, as well as the means to monitor and assess the effectiveness of them. Without this, the essential feedback loop on how effective resource allocation will be absent. At the same time, it is important to recognise that tax revenues are likely to decrease with income decreases that result from the pandemic. This means that resources will have to be applied very strategically. Sector-wide approaches may be necessary to ensure sufficient, coordinated responses.



5 Measures learning outcomes

This paper began with a statement on the importance of focusing on learning. Thus, it is only appropriate that the last of the 10 dimensions of quality come full circle and address learning outcomes. In this regard, the quest for a better understanding of what is wanted from a quality education has expanded significantly the desired learning outcomes. The following simple classification of the main types of learning outcomes to be pursued may be helpful:

- Knowledge: the essential cognitive achievements that all learners should reach (including literacy, numeracy and core subject knowledge);
- Skills or competencies: a secure command of how to solve problems, to experiment, to work in teams, to live together and interact with those who are different and to learn how to learn;
- Values: such as solidarity, gender equality, tolerance, mutual understanding, respect for human rights, non-violence, respect for human life and dignity; and
- Behaviours: the willingness to put into practice what has been learned, actual change in behaviour and the reinforcement of appropriate behaviours.

Our ability to measure learning achievement varies considerably in relation to the kinds of outcomes that are being measured. There are many indicators of learning achievement (or their proxies) already in use. There are a number of systems in place that measure learning achievement and use the results for the implementation and assessment of educational policies, programmes and practices.¹²

Ideally, in a fair system of education, learning outcomes will not be dependent on variables such as HIV status. The major challenge to education systems is how to disaggregate data in meaningful ways to work towards a fair system without labelling, or inadvertently making it possible to discriminate against those affected or infected by HIV. This will require enormous sensitivity and commitment.



Practical applications

A matrix contained in the annex takes the conceptual framework advanced in the previous section of this paper and shows very practically how the HIV and AIDS pandemic manifests itself in education systems, and gives concrete examples of how education systems and the contexts in which they are located have responded. To better understand how these responses relate to the IATT on Education strategy (UNAIDS IATT on Education 2002), the matrix attempts to link them to the strategy, although it is important to acknowledge that a response might address more than one strategic thrust.

From this initial work, it is clear that there are some significant data and practice gaps. This section elaborates on those related to data needs, impact assessments, and legal and policy frameworks.

Data needs

- Various models are used to predict prevalence rates among educators and education planners with varying degrees of success depending on surveillance methods, quality of data analysis, and the interference of other factors. More information is needed on the impact of AIDS among educators, disaggregated by age, sex, ethnicity, and socio-economic background in order to generate or update policies and programmes.
- Indicators are needed that distinguish AIDS-driven absenteeism from other types.
- More information is needed on the impact of HIV on the tertiary level (studies largely focus on primary and secondary levels).
- Data on school attendance and achievement by age, sex, ethnicity, socio-economic background, and orphanhood status are needed.
- National statistics can mask important variations at the local level in individual districts and schools, as well as disparities by sex, ethnicity, or socio-economic background. More information is needed on impacts at regional and local levels.
- Qualitative data are needed to enable policy- and decision-makers to base decisions on more than just numbers and quantitative data—which may obscure the full picture.
- Example demonstrating the use of relevant indicators to measure programmes, policies, and plans that support quality education that reflects and is responsive to HIV and AIDS would be useful.
- While much has been written about HIV and AIDS and education in the hardest hit regions of the world—notably sub-Saharan Africa—there is less evidence on the manifestations of the pandemic on education systems and documented programme responses in Latin America, Caribbean, and Asia or in industrialised countries.
- Repackaging of Demographic Health Surveys and other research on sexual and reproductive health behaviours of young people is needed to inform policy decisions and programme development.

Impact assessments

- Although reviews of AIDS education programmes have emphasised the importance of teacher and peer training, little is known about what type of training works best, the optimum length of training, or how best to involve the community in training and supporting educators.
- More needs to be learned about elements of curricula that are effective in producing high quality educators capable of transmitting HIV-related knowledge and skills to learners, and in ways that will result in effective outcomes including desired behaviour changes.
- Not all countries in which interventions are taking place have baseline studies upon which interventions are grounded and against which outcomes of interventions could be measured. Increased emphasis should be placed on documenting baseline conditions and relevant data to measure the outcomes of interventions.
- The impact of interventions can be difficult to measure as in many areas multiple interventions are in place, implemented by other ministries, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), community-based organizations (CBOs), development agencies, and the media. While this is overall positive, it makes it difficult to attribute the outcome or impact of one initiative out of many similar or related ones.
- More information is needed on systems that have been put in place (e.g., HIV and AIDS monitoring and information systems) to ensure reliable and valid data collection on a routine basis. Documentation on how ministries have used these systems to design effective and informed responses to the epidemic would be useful to determine the potential replication of these systems in other locations.



Legal and policy frameworks

- More information is needed on educational policies that address inclusion and discrimination, the status of teachers and other education staff affected or infected by HIV, and violence, in all its forms, in education.
- Wider dissemination is needed of country level action plans on HIV and AIDS and education—what countries have established them? What do they look like? What are some examples of multisectoral approaches and have they been effective?
- More information is needed on legal systems that have provided protection to support school enrolment, discourage discrimination against those infected and affected by HIV, and to support EFA.

Implications and conclusions

The implications of the HIV and AIDS pandemic for education are enormous. Not all of these can be addressed here. It is important, however, to draw some of the major conclusions that emerge from the quality framework that is presented in this paper, recognising that HIV and AIDS is a social, cultural, economic, development, as well as a health issue.



1 Overcome the denial that HIV and AIDS is a priority for education

The time is long past for denial in education systems, but it prevails even as educators ask others to “break the silence”. We have to admit that the pandemic is one of the greatest development, and hence educational, challenges of our times. It could also serve as a wonderful opportunity to change education so that it really is a “quality education for all”.

Unless individuals, communities, and nations recognise the impact of the pandemic on daily lives and institutions, of which education is one of the most important, we shall never have a chance of wrestling it under control in this or the next generation—after which, it may be too late. It is not suffi-

cient to acknowledge in half a sentence in papers, legislation, or policies that HIV and AIDS is a problem when it comes to education. Some countries have made a start, but they are exceptions.¹³

We know that the disease is wiping out educational advances at an increasing rate. We also know that, at this time in history, education is an important and effective means to stop it. Our education systems must change to be both reactive and responsive when it comes to HIV and AIDS. Using the quality framework presented in this paper to reorient education is one way to do this. Denial, ignorance, and arrogance are traits often present in education systems that must be eliminated.

Focus on inclusion in education

A rights-based learning environment is one that reflects the principles of rights, with the potential to equip all learners with universally shared ethical and moral values, enabling them to learn and practice values of empathy, compassion, honesty, integrity, non-violence, and respect for diversities, thus learning to live together in peace and harmony. There is clearly no place for exclusion within a learning environment in any of its forms, from physical to psychological.

Everyone should have the opportunity to learn throughout life. All learners should have access to organised learning opportunities that enable them to meet their basic learning needs. This may mean efforts to make schooling more affordable through reducing or eliminating annual tuition fees and indirect costs. Dramatic increases in enrolment have followed elimination of school fees in a number of countries—from 5.9 to 7.2 million children in Kenya (22 percent enrolment growth), 1.9 to 3 million children in Malawi (63 percent enrolment growth), 1.4 to 3 million children in Tanzania (100 percent enrolment growth), and 2.5 million to 6.5



million children in Uganda (160 percent enrolment growth) (UNICEF 2004). School voucher, scholarship programmes and subsidies have also been shown to attract and keep learners in school.

Equally important, however, is what and how learners learn—the quality of education. That is, learning processes as well as learning places have to include all learners. Inclusion is a concept that goes beyond access to education, and the acquisition of learning itself. Without including all learners, the EFA goal of learning achievement, for example, cannot be reached.

3 Recognise that gender issues are key to the problem

There is a growing body of knowledge to show that transmission, infection, and impact of HIV and AIDS are greatly affected by gender relations. In order to prevent HIV and to minimise its impact, it is important to understand the relationships with gender and to programme and educate accordingly.

Gender does not mean female or male. Programme implementers are increasingly turning to interventions that also target boys and young and older men as

a means of increasing their awareness of power and gender dynamics, to encourage men and boys to change their behaviours, and to move away from approaches that place all the responsibility for improved gender relations on women and girls. These approaches include strategies that seek to empower women while also involving men. There is a growing recognition that men must become much more aware of gender disparities in order for durable changes to occur.

4 Emphasise people, especially teachers and educators, their practices and preparation

Many of the day-to-day educational activities are primarily the responsibility of the educator. The HIV and AIDS pandemic affects teachers and educators whether they are infected or not and whether they have family members who are infected or not—they have to deal with the stresses and constraints of the disease on the system in daily and very personal ways, yet there is often little in the way of support for them.

Educators need to understand the responsibilities that they have towards learners, both as key mentors in the learning process and as adults who serve as important role models and as protectors of children. Teachers may need to change their classroom behaviours significantly in order to respect the rights of learners. They may also have to change their interactions with communities, parents, educational leaders, and educational institutions within the

system as each component changes to be more sensitive to meeting each learner's right to a quality basic education. One obvious entry point for working with teachers' knowledge and teaching behaviour is through teacher preparation and training on HIV and AIDS, gender, human rights and life skills. Both pre- and in service education are implicated.

Teachers themselves, as well as non-teaching staff, are also vulnerable to HIV infection and AIDS. Policies protecting teachers and other school staff in the workplace and supporting such policies through teachers associations and unions are important. The International Labour Organization (ILO) has developed a code of practice on HIV and AIDS in the world of work that can serve as a starting point for recognising the needs of teachers, viewing schools as a workplace (ILO 2001).

5 Acknowledge that curriculum is far more than what is taught

The intended curriculum is only a small part of what is learned. Learners also learn from in-formal education and observation, from practice, from hearing, from praise, from “body language,” and from recognition, for example. Thus, if the quality of education is going to contribute to decreasing the impact of, and eliminating, the HIV and AIDS it must be viewed in relation to the many dimensions of learning.

Teacher ease or discomfort with the topic of HIV and AIDS is likely to be perceived by learners and likely to influence how they learn about the subject. Attempts

to deliver HIV and AIDS education in schools are severely restrained by social and cultural norms, and sexual relations and power inequalities. These constraints will often manifest themselves in selective teaching, where messages on HIV and AIDS are either not communicated at all, or restricted to overly scientific discussions without reference to sex or sexual relationships (ActionAid 2003, Global Campaign for Education 2005). Teacher education on communication to increase confidence and skills must be part of any programme to improve teachers' knowledge and teaching behaviour.



6 Introduce treatment education immediately and as a priority

Treatment education is an area of growing importance for some IATT members (UNAIDS IATT on Education 2006). It is linked to comprehensive prevention, care and treatment, which, using this framework is partially provided through a quality education. There are a number of important approaches and initiatives that deserve attention, analysis, and emphasis. These include counselling, help with obtaining and adhering to treatment, general health education, and the

multi-partner effort by UNAIDS to scale up toward universal access to prevention, treatment and care. The meaningful involvement of people with HIV takes on new importance with improved treatment access, and also requires that prevention efforts be expanded to include “prevention for positives”, an area often overlooked in many prevention programmes that have tended to focus almost exclusively on “keeping the negatives negative”.



7 Identify and reinforce elements of education plans that take account of HIV and AIDS

Among the areas that are essential in education plans and their implementation is the extent to which they address quality issues. Among these are prevention education, anticipation and analysis of, and then actions against, the impact of the pandemic on the entire system, and the role of the school and system in care and treatment.

Education systems and their processes cannot be expected to change overnight. To think so is unrealistic. A vision of quality that takes into account its various dimensions sets the standard. Teachers, schools, systems, and nations are the ones responsible for determining how this vision should be interpreted and incrementally put in place.

Endnotes

- 1) This paper does not address the impact of HIV and AIDS on education systems as this has been addressed elsewhere. Readers may find the IIEP website helpful: http://www.unesco.org/iiep/eng/focus/hiv/hiv_1.htm, and also see in particular C. Coombe, M.J. Kelly and R. Carr-Hill who have conducted multiple impact studies in Africa.
- 2) Some of the initial work on this framework relating to the level of the learner was undertaken by UNICEF (2000).
- 3) UNESCO's International Bureau of Education (IBE) is engaged in documenting curriculum efforts in response to the pandemic. Readers are referred to the relevant IBE website: <http://www.unesco.org/education/ibe/ichae>
- 4) See UNESCO IBE 2004 paper for on the inclusion of HIV and AIDS in the curriculum of 35 countries
- 5) See, for example, UNICEF website: http://www.unicef.org/lifeskills/index_8761.html
- 6) UNESCO, UNICEF, WHO and the World Bank together with Education International developed the FRESH approach
- 7) See the FRESH website at <http://www.unesco.org/education/fresh>
- 8) In relation to the processes and content of education as they relate to EFA, UNESCO is also engaged in an activity to assist countries better monitor progress in achievement of "life skills". A March 2004 inter-agency meeting on the topic accepted the Delors framework and emphasised the importance of focussing on a life skills approach, which emphasises linking process with content and desired learning outcomes (see UNESCO 2005 for meeting report). See also World Health Organization 2003.
- 9) See <http://unescoasp.edna.edu.au/index.htm>
- 10) UNICEF media advisory, http://www.unicef.org/ceecis/media_2167.html
- 11) Readers are referred to the relevant IIEP website: http://www.unesco.org/iiep/eng/focus/hiv/hiv_1.htm
- 12) A number of mechanisms exist to measure learning outcomes. Some of the better known include the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)'s international studies including the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) and the Progress in International Reading and Literacy Study (PIRLS), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)'s Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), regional studies such as the Southern and Eastern Africa Commission on Monitoring Educational Quality (SACMEQ, the Programme for the Analysis of the Educational Systems of Member Countries of the "Conférence des ministres de l'Education des pays ayant le français en partage" (CONFEMEN) (PASEC), and the Latin American Educational Quality Assessment Laboratory (LLECE), as well as national studies such as the Minimum Learning Levels (MLL) in India and the Sistema de Medición de la Calidad de la Educación (SIMCE) in Chile. Most of these focus on cognitive achievement, although a variety of efforts are underway to measure values, skills, and behaviours.
- 13) For example, Botswana and Uganda are examples mentioned in "EFA Global Monitoring Report 2002: Is the World on Track?" (UNESCO 2002).

Bibliography

ActionAid. 2003. *The Sound of Silence: Difficulties in Communicating on HIV/AIDS in Schools, Experiences from India and Kenya*. London: ActionAid.

Accessed on line April 18, 2006 at www.actionaid.org/wps/content_document.asp?doc_id=360

Alford S *et al.* *Science and Success: Sex Education and Other Programs that Work to Prevent Teen Pregnancy, HIV and Sexually Transmitted Infections*. Washington, DC: Advocates for Youth.

Ainsworth M and Filmer D. 2002. *Poverty, AIDS and Children's Schooling: a Targeting Dilemma*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Accessed on line April 18, 2006 at http://wdsbeta.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSCContentServer/TW3P/IB/2002/10/12/000094946_02100204022275/additional/124524322_20041117182553.pdf

Akershus fylkeskommune. 2002. *Mapping Quality: Educational Quality in the County of Akershus*. Oslo: Akershus fylkeskommune.

Accessed on line April 18, 2006 at http://www.akershusf.kommune.no/index.php?page_id=201&article_id=6450&print=1

Akoulouze R, Khanye V, Rugalema G. 2001. *Taking Stock of Promising Approaches in HIV/AIDS and Education in Sub-Saharan Africa: What Works, Why and How*. Paris: Association for the Development of Education in Africa (ADEA).

Allemano E. 2003. *HIV/AIDS: A Threat to Educational Quality in Sub-Saharan Africa - Analytical Framework and Implications for Policy Development*. Draft Working Document.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.adeanet.org/biennial2003/papers/10B_IIPE_ENG.pdf.

Baxter P. April 13-14, 2002. Presentation at UNICEF-Sponsored Network Meeting on Gender-Based Violence in Schools. New York.

Bennell P, Hyde K, Swainson N. 2002. *The Impact of the HIV/AIDS Epidemic of the Education Sector in Sub-Saharan Africa: a Synthesis of the Findings and Recommendations of Three Country Studies*. Sussex: Centre for International Education University of Sussex Institute of Education.

Carr-Hill R. 2002. Practical and Theoretical Problems in Training Teachers to Confront HIV/AIDS. In E. Thomas (Ed.), *World Yearbook of Education 2002: Teacher Education, Dilemmas and Prospects*. 193-204. London: Taylor & Francis.

Carr-Hill R, Oulai D. 1993. *The Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education*. Paris: UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP).

Carr-Hill R *et al.* 2002. *The Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education and Institutionalizing Preventive Education*. Paris: UNESCO IIEP.

Chinapah V. 2001. *Quality Education, UNESCO Position Paper*. Unpublished.

Cohen DK *et al.* 2003. Resources, Instruction, and Research. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*. 25(2): 119-142.

Commonwealth Department of Education, Science and Training. 2002. *Striving for Quality: Learning, Teaching and Scholarship*. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia.

Coombe C. 2003. *HIV/AIDS and Teacher Education: Synopsis of Observations and Principal Conclusions*. Consultation on HIV/AIDS and Teacher Education in East and Southern Africa, October 28-30, 2003. InWent, Capacity Building International

Coombe C. 2002. Mitigating the Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education Supply, Demand and Quality. In G.A. Cornia (Ed.), *AIDS, Public Policy and Child Well-Being*. Florence: UNICEF-IRC.

Coombe C, Kelly MJ. 2001. Education as a Vehicle for Combating HIV/AIDS. *UNESCO Prospects*, 31(3): 438-445.
Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://portal.unesco.org/education/en/file_download.php/8b101702cb719eb63573e502e05f8a8eprospects119.pdf

Delors J. 1996. *Learning: The Treasure Within*. Paris: UNESCO.

Development Cooperation Ireland (DCI). November 5, 2003. *HIV/AIDS and Education: From Policy to Practice – What Works in the Formal Education Sector?* Report of Symposium hosted by DCI in cooperation with the UNAIDS Inter Agency Task Force Team on Education, Dublin.
Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://portal.unesco.org/fr/file_download.php/794092dbf433a643534c59e7f2b2e1b8IIAT+++Report+on+Symposium+++Dublin.pdf

EFA Global Monitoring Report Team. 2003. *EFA Global Monitoring Report 2003: The leap to equality*. Paris: UNESCO.

EFA Global Monitoring Report Team. 2002. *EFA Global Monitoring Report 2002: Is the World on Track?* Paris: UNESCO.
Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.uis.unesco.org/ev_fr.php?ID=5177_201&ID2=DO_TOPIC

Gilborn L *et al.* 2001. *Making a Difference for Children Affected by AIDS: Baseline Findings from Operations Research in Uganda*. USAID/Population Council.

Global Campaign for Education (GCE). 2005. *Deadly Inertia. A Cross-Country Study of Educational Responses to HIV/AIDS*. Brussels, GCE.
Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://www.campaignforeducation.org/resources/Nov2005/ENGLISHdeadlyinertia.pdf>

Goliber T. 2000. *Exploring the Implications of the HIV/AIDS Epidemic for Educational Planning in Selected African Countries: the Demographic Question*. Washington, DC: The Futures Group International.

Grainger C, Webb D, Elliott L. 2001. *Children Affected by HIV/AIDS: Rights and Responses in the Developing World*. London: Save the Children.

Harris AM and Schubert JG. 2001. *Defining “Quality” in the Midst of HIV/AIDS: Ripple Effects in the Classroom*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.

Hepburn AE. 2001. *Primary Education in Eastern and Southern Africa: Increasing Access for Orphans and Vulnerable Children in AIDS-affected Areas*. North Carolina: Terry Sanford Institute of Public Policy, Duke University.

International HIV/AIDS Alliance. 2003. *Building Blocks: Africa-wide Briefing Notes – Education*. Brighton: International HIV/AIDS Alliance.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://hivaidsclearinghouse.unesco.org/ev_fr.php?ID=2459_201&ID2=DO_TOPIC

Kasama V. December 8-10, 1993. *The Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education: The Thai Perspectives*. Paper presented at a seminar on the Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education held at UNESCO IIEP, Paris.

Kelly MJ. 2000a. *The Encounter Between HIV/AIDS and Education*. Synthesis of presentations to the Lusaka ICASA and the Johannesburg EFA 2000 Conferences for release at the Dakar Forum (ref. cited but unread) in: Coombe C. 2003. *HIV/AIDS and Teacher Education: Synopsis of Observations and Principal Conclusions*. Consultation on HIV/AIDS and Teacher Education in East and Southern Africa, October 28-30, 2003. InWEnt, Capacity Building International.

Kelly MJ. 2000b. *Planning for Education in the Context of HIV/AIDS*. Paris: UNESCO IIEP.

Kelly MJ. 2000c, October. *The Impact of HIV/AIDS on the Rights of the Child to Education*. Paper presented at the SADC-EU Seminar on the Rights of the Child in a World with HIV and AIDS, Harare.

Accessed online 18 April, 2006 at http://hivaidsclearinghouse.unesco.org/ev_fr.php?ID=1152_201&ID2=DO_TOPIC

Kirby D. 2001. *Emerging Answers: Research Findings on Programs to Reduce Teen Pregnancy*. Washington, DC: National Campaign, 2004.

Matsuura K. March 8, 2004. *Les réponses culturelles de l'Afrique au VIH/SIDA: les femmes et leurs combats*. Discours de M. Koïchiro Matsuura, Directeur général de l'UNESCO, Paris.

Matsuura K. 2004, March 8. *Women and AIDS*. Message by Mr. Koïchiro Matsuura, Director-General of UNESCO for International Women's Day, Paris.

Medel-Añonuevo C. 2002. *Addressing Gender Relations in HIV Preventive Education*. Hamburg: UNESCO Institute for Education.

Ministry of Education, New Zealand. 2003. *New Zealand Schools Nga Kura o Aotearoa 2002*. Wellington: Ministry of Education.

Nkamba M. December 8-10, 1993. *Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education Demand and Supply in Zambia*. Paper presented at a seminar on the Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education held at IIEP, Paris.

Olong-Atwoki C. December 8-10, 1993. Uganda: *The Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education*. Paper presented at a seminar on the Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education held at IIEP, Paris.

Pigozzi MJ. September 11, 2003. *Culture and Gender in Relation to a Quality Education for All*. Presentation at the UKFIT International Conference on Education and Development – the State of Education: Quantity, Quality and Outcomes, Oxford.

Pigozzi MJ. October 2003. "How You Learn and What You Learn Are Both Essential for EFA," *Innovations*. Geneva: International Bureau of Education, 2003.

Pigozzi MJ. May 28, 2003. *Gender: a Defining Element in Quality Education*. Presentation for SEAMEO Conference, Bangkok, Thailand. Unpublished.

Pigozzi MJ. January 30, 2003. *Quality Education – A Human Rights Approach*. Speech at the Experts meeting on the Practice of Rights in Education: A Renewed Commitment to Human Rights Education, Paris.

Pigozzi MJ. May 17, 2000. *Girls' Education: Improving Both Access and Quality*. Paper presented at a conference organized by the government of El Salvador. Unpublished.

Pigozzi MJ. May 22, 2000. *Achieving Gender Parity in Education*. Presentation at Reading University. Unpublished.

Pigozzi MJ. 1997. *Implications of the Convention on the Rights of the Child for Education Activities Supported by UNICEF*. Accessed online 18 April, 2006 at www.unicef.org/teachers/learner/crc-impl/htm

Rau B. 2002. *Intersecting Risks: HIV/AIDS and Child Labour*. Geneva: ILO, 2002.

Save the Children/South Africa Programme. 2001. Module 3: The Education Rights of Children and Youth Infected and Affected by HIV/AIDS. *The Rights of Children and Youth Infected and Affected by HIV/AIDS: Trainers' handbook*. 83-118. Arcadia: Save the Children.

Shaeffer S. 1994. *The Impact of HIV/AIDS on Education: A Review of Literature and Experience*. Paris: UNESCO.

The World Declaration on Education for All: Meeting Basic Learning Needs. Declaration at the World Conference on Education for All. Jomtien, March 5-9, 1990. Accessed online 18 April, 2006 at http://www.unesco.org/education/efa/ed_for_all/background/world_conference_jomtien.shtml

UN/World Water Assessment Programme (WWAP). 2003. *UN World Water Development Report: Water for People, Water for Life*. Paris, New York and Oxford: UNESCO and Berghahn Books. Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.unesco.org/water/wwap/wwdr/table_contents.shtml

UNAIDS. 2005. *AIDS Epidemic Update 2005*. Geneva: UNAIDS. Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.unaids.org/epi/2005/doc/EPIupdate2005_html_en/epi05_00_en.htm

UNAIDS. 2004. *World AIDS Campaign 2004: Women, Girls, HIV and AIDS – Strategic Overview and Background Note*. Geneva: UNAIDS.

UNAIDS. 2004. *Towards an AIDS-Free Generation: A Global Initiative to Expand Prevention Education against HIV/AIDS*. Twenty-third meeting of the Committee of Cosponsoring Organization, Livingstone, March 4, 2004. Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0013/001340/134043e.pdf>

UNAIDS. 2003. *HIV/AIDS Epidemic Update 2003*. Geneva: UNAIDS.

UNAIDS. 2002. *Report on the Global HIV/AIDS Epidemic – 2002*. Geneva: UNAIDS.

UNAIDS. 1997. *Impact of HIV and Sexual Health Education on the Sexual Behaviour of Young People: A Review Update*. Geneva: UNAIDS.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://www.unesco.org/education/educprog/pead/GB/AIDSGB/AIDSGBtx/Impact/Intro.pdf>

UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. 2006. *Education Sector Global HIV & AIDS Readiness Survey 2004. Policy Implications for Education and Development*. Paris: UNESCO.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://www.unesco.org/aids/iatt>

UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. 2006. *HIV and AIDS Treatment Education: A Critical Component of Efforts to Ensure Universal Access to Prevention, Treatment, and Care*. Paris: UNESCO.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://www.unesco.org/aids/iatt>

UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. October, 2003. *The Role of Education in the Response for Orphans and Children Made Vulnerable by HIV/AIDS*. Paper presented at the Global Partners Forum for Orphans and Children Made Vulnerable by HIV/AIDS, Geneva.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://www.unesco.org/aids/iatt>

UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. 2002. *HIV/AIDS and Education – A Strategic Approach*. Paris: UNESCO IIEP.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.unesco.org/education/just_published_en/pdf/hiv_approach_english.pdf

UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team on Young People. 2004. *At the Crossroads: Accelerating Youth Access to HIV/AIDS Interventions*. New York: UNFPA.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.unfpa.org/upload/lib_pub_file/316_filename_UNFPA_Crossroads.pdf

UNESCO. 2005. *Report of the Inter-Agency Working Group on Life Skills in EFA*. UNESCO, Paris, March 29-31, 2004. Paris: UNESCO.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0014/001410/141012e.pdf>

UNESCO. 2004. *Report on Ministerial Round Table on the Quality Education, 32nd Session of the General Conference, UNESCO, Paris, October 3-4, 2003*. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO. 2004. *UNESCO's Strategy for HIV/AIDS Prevention Education*. Paris: UNESCO IIEP.

UNESCO. 2004. *Report of the Inter-agency Working Group on Life Skills in EFA*. March 29-31, 2004, Paris, France. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO. 2003a. *Ministerial Communiqué*. Communiqué from the Ministerial Round Table on "Quality Education", Paris, October 3-4, 2003.

Retrieved from http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php@URL_ID=15505&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html.

UNESCO. 2003b. *UNESCO's Gender Mainstreaming Implementation Framework for 2002-2007*. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO. 2002. *EFA Global Monitoring Report*. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO. 2001, 2003. *Literacy, Gender and HIV/AIDS Series*. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO. 2000. *The Dakar Framework for Action: Education For All: Meeting our Collective Commitments*. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO. 2000. *The Dakar Framework for Action, Education for All: Meeting our Collective Commitments*. Adapted by World Education Forum Dakar, Senegal, April 26-28, 2000. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO International Bureau of Education (IBE). (forthcoming 2006). *HIV and AIDS Curriculum Manual*. Geneva: IBE.

UNESCO International Bureau of Education (IBE). 2004 *Assessment of Curriculum Response in 35 Countries for the EFA Monitoring Report 2005 "The Quality Imperative"*. Geneva: IBE.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.ibe.unesco.org/AIDS/doc/EFA_Report_complet.pdf

UNESCO International Bureau of Education (IBE).

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at <http://www.unesco.org/education/ibe/ichae>

UNESCO International Institute for Educational Planning (IIEP).

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.unesco.org/iiep/eng/focus/hiv/hiv_1.htm

UNESCO Nairobi Office. 2003. *HIV/AIDS and Education: HIV/AIDS and the Role of Education Service Commissions*. Nairobi: UNESCO.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www.hivaids.nairobi-unesco.org/docs/hivan_drole%20of%20education%20service%20commissions.pdf

UNFPA. 2004. *Investing in People: National Progress in Implementing the ICPD Programme of Action 1994-2004*. International Conference on Population and Development. New York: UNFPA.

UNFPA. 2003. *Making 1 Billion Count: Investing in Adolescents' Health and Rights*. State of the World Population. New York: UNFPA.

UNFPA. 2002. *Preventing HIV, Promoting Reproductive Health. Strategic Guidance on HIV Prevention*. New York: UNFPA.

UNICEF. 2004. *The State of the World's Children 2004: Girls, Education and Development*. New York: UNICEF.

UNICEF. 2003. *Africa's Orphaned Generations*. New York: UNICEF.

UNICEF. 2002a. *Orphans and other children affected by HIV/AIDS. A UNICEF fact sheet*. New York: UNICEF.

UNICEF. 2002b. *Quality Education for All: From a girl's point of view*. New York: UNICEF.

UNICEF. 2000. *Defining quality in education*. Working Paper.

Retrieved from <http://www.unicef.org/girlseducation/QualityEducation.PDF>

UNICEF (no date). *The Case against "Integration"*.

Retrieved from http://www.unicef.org/lifeskills/index_8761.html.

UNICEF, UNAIDS, WHO. 2002. *Young People and HIV/AIDS: Opportunity in Crisis*. New York: UNICEF, UNAIDS, WHO.

Verwimp, P. 1999. Measuring the quality of education at two levels: A case study of primary schools in rural Ethiopia. *International Review of Education* 45(2), 167-196.

Working Party on the Length of the School Day and the School Year. 1999. *Review of the length of the school Day and the school year*. New Zealand: Working Party on the Length of the School Day and the School Year.

World Bank. 2002. *Education and HIV/AIDS: A Window of hope*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Accessed online April 18, 2006 at http://www1.worldbank.org/education/pdf/Ed%20&%20HIV_AIDS%20cover%20print.pdf

World Health Organization. 2003. *Skills for Health. Skills-based Health Education including Life Skills: An Important Component of Child-Friendly/Health Promoting Schools*. WHO's Information Series on School Health Document 9.

Accessed online at 18 April, 2006 at http://www.who.int/school_youth_health/media/en/sch_skills4health_03.pdf

Annex:

Matrix on current practice in relation to quality education and HIV & AIDS

At the level of the learner

Quality Education Components	HIV & AIDS Impact	Programme Responses	Link to IATT Strategic Actions
Seeks out learners	Enrolments decrease and/or drop-outs increase: - Family income reduced and diverted and education becomes relatively more expensive in real and opportunity costs - Increased family responsibilities and child-headed households requiring learners to work at home and beyond - Orphans receiving less educational opportunity from their foster parents - Family scepticism increases regarding the value and relevance of education - Increased number of vulnerable and marginalised children and adolescents including street and working children - Increased absenteeism among infected and affected pupils	- Abolishment of school fees and decrease indirect costs - Scholarships and school subsidies - Flexible school hours - Equipment supplied that could decrease domestic workload - Provision of child-care through day-care centres - Community/school mapping - Community schools and schools set up closer to home - Assistance to those out-of-school to get back to school - Activities to increase parents' awareness, understanding and involvement through, for example, parental education, school newspaper, home curriculum, parents' education committees	Actions to ensure access to high quality education: - Reduce the social and economic barriers to accessing and staying in education - Improve community awareness of the value and right to education - Monitor changing patterns of provision and attendance through, for example, AIDS-sensitive education management information systems (EMIS) - Provide materials and meals and develop new community/school initiatives to enable young people who are working and/or providing care for sick family members to access education Actions to reduce risk: - Strengthen non-formal and community education systems Actions to reduce vulnerability: - Provide and expand access to universal, good quality and safe education
Acknowledges what the learner brings	Knowledge and skills are often higher among AIDS-affected children in the following areas: - Household management - Job experience - Resilience and ability to cope - How to care for those who are ill AIDS-affected and infected children may experience higher levels of: - Sickness - Trauma from witnessing physical deterioration and death - Reduced parental care and protection - Hopelessness, fatalism - Malnourishment from reduced family income as well as food insecurity in general - Stigma, discrimination and exploitation	- Guidance and counselling, group support - Incorporation of experiences and skills of learners in the content and process of learning - Appreciation of the coping strategies that have been developed by some young people - Routine health checks - School feeding programmes - Provision of HIV treatment and support for adherence - Access or referral to health services including condoms, diagnosis and treatment of STIs, and clean injection equipment - Peer training on STI and HIV prevention	Actions to reduce risk: - Link better with health services Actions to reduce vulnerability: - Develop school health programmes that tackle the particular factors rendering some children and young people more vulnerable than others - Improve inter-sectoral collaboration to enable young people to access the services and resources needed to protect and support against HIV infection

Quality Education Components	HIV & AIDS Impact	Programme Responses	Link to IATT Strategic Actions
Considers the content of formal and non-formal learning	- Parents, teachers, and school administrators sometimes hesitant that children learn about HIV, AIDS, and sexuality - Lack of attention to HIV and AIDS, reproductive and sexual health, and life skills topics - Deficient treatment of human rights and principles of gender equality and equity in curricula - Less traditional and indigenous skills and knowledge are imparted due to parental illness and death - Epidemic creates further erosion of relevant educational content, teachers less prepared/up-to-date, and learning materials not appropriate	- Improved teacher preparation and training - Improved teacher supervision and mentoring (by head teachers, inspectors, advisers) - Improved materials and teaching methods - Increased relevance of education through topics such as how to run a household or provide care - Integration of HIV and AIDS, reproductive health, sexuality, gender, life skills, and human rights into curriculum (at an earlier stage) with the view to bring about behaviour change - Earlier inclusion of work-related training and skills - Promotion of traditional and indigenous skills and knowledge in learning materials - Home-school-community partnerships - Improved quality of general education as well as peer counselling and/or peer education	Actions to reduce risk: - Develop school-based risk reduction education specifically addressing HIV and AIDS - Promote participatory methods and peer education - Conduct teacher education and training (both pre- and in-service) in delivering risk reducing education for HIV prevention - Meaningfully involve people with HIV (including teachers and pupils) in designing and implementing teaching programmes as well as providing access to perspectives and experiences that help reduce risk
Enhances learning processes	- Harassment, stigma and discrimination against HIV-affected and -infected learners and teachers - Inadequacy of teacher knowledge and confidence in teaching HIV and AIDS and life skills in a human rights framework - Low credibility of teachers because of their own perceived high level of infection - Disturbed continuity of teaching and learning due to reduced productivity and increased absenteeism of teachers who are sick or undertaking family obligations, and through death of teachers	- Code of conduct for teachers - Recruitment of retired teachers, use of teaching assistants and itinerant teachers - Careful teacher training in how to interact with and encourage all students equally - Practice of human rights (give learners a voice, respect them, do not discriminate against them) - Programmes against violence in schools	Actions to preserve the key missions of education: - Reduce discrimination, stigma and misunderstanding about HIV and AIDS - Improve and accelerate teacher recruitment - Establish policies for retaining teachers and encourage appropriate recruitment to remote or unpopular locations - Develop more flexible approaches to part-time work and job-sharing to enable teachers with other commitments - Review teacher education and training (both pre- and in-service) to ensure that teachers are well prepared to meet the special needs of children living with and affected by HIV Actions to reduce vulnerability: - Eliminate stigma and discrimination with a view toward respecting human rights and encouraging greater openness concerning the epidemic

Quality Education Components	HIV & AIDS Impact	Programme Responses	Link to IATT Strategic Actions
Provides a conducive learning environment	- Stigma, discrimination, exclusion, bullying and other forms of violence - Fear of infection - Witnessing the rapid physical deterioration of a classmate or teacher with AIDS - Lack of safety during the journey to and just outside of school - Unhealthy schools that lack good sanitation	- Breaking the silence by encouraging open discussion about HIV and AIDS issues - School-community campaigns against discrimination - Establishment of community protection monitoring networks and services - Inclusion of all students in educational and extra-curricular activities - Involvement of those infected and affected in developing school policies and in daily management of schools - Promotion of HIV-related extra curricular activities such as anti-AIDS clubs, essay competitions, etc. - Peace and human rights education - Links with youth-friendly, student-friendly health services - Good sanitation and hygiene such as clean water and toilets	Actions to preserve the key missions of education: - Ensure that schools take actions that foster coping and caring for those affected by the pandemic Actions to reduce vulnerability: - Develop safer recreational activities for both in- and out-of-school youth - Involve partners from the health sector in peer education initiatives to promote positive attitudes and behaviour change on STIs, HIV and life skills issues

At the level of the learning system

Quality Education Components	HIV & AIDS Impact	Programme Responses	Link to IATT Strategic Actions
Structures management and administration to support learning	- Stigma and discrimination against those with HIV - Reduced administrative and managerial capacity due to reduced productivity and increased absenteeism of administrators who are sick or undertaking family obligations, and through death of administrators - Lack of reliable information on the number of educational personnel who are HIV infected and affected making management more difficult - Lack of monitoring mechanism on stigma and discrimination against those with HIV	- Workplace policy and training that is responsive to HIV and AIDS for administrators and managers - In-service supervision, support, and advice to teachers - In- and pre-service training of administrators and managers on HIV and AIDS, gender, human rights and life skills - Provision of medical benefits to teachers and education staff - Involvement of those infected and affected in decision-making processes - Establishment of a coordination mechanism that addresses HIV and AIDS	Actions to preserve the key missions of education: - Reinforce cross-sectoral and inter-agency collaboration - Prioritise teachers' access to treatment and care - Develop workplace policies that provide a legal framework for the protection of employees' rights, contain regulations governing the appropriate conditions of employment, and establish efficient monitoring mechanisms of the impact of HIV and AIDS on education sector employees
Implements relevant and appropriate policies	- Lack of specific policies that address HIV and AIDS, or awareness of those that exist - Gap between policy and implementation - Gap between research findings, evidence and policy - Silence related to HIV and AIDS	- Advocacy - Capacity building (training, technical assistance) in developing policies and implementing them - Involvement of those infected and affected by HIV in decision-making processes at central, district, and local levels - Training of headmasters, inspectors, boards of governors, PTAs, CBOs on better school management in an AIDS environment	Actions to preserve the key missions of education: - Implement national EFA Plans of Action Actions to reduce risk: - Develop clear national policies to support education for HIV prevention Actions to reduce vulnerability: - Develop and implement policies that favour early childhood care and education, gender equity, school attendance and effective learning
Promotes the establishment of legislation supportive to learning	- Lack of laws to provide protection for those infected and affected, especially AIDS orphans - Lack of laws to prohibit discrimination against those infected and affected - Lack of a legal framework for EFA	- Advocacy - Strengthening the legal system - Strengthening the judiciary system - Holding accountable violators of laws and the trust of young people	Ensure that HIV and AIDS is addressed across the whole education sector implying legislative and policy changes
Restructures resources for learning	- Reduced availability of funds due to reduced tax revenue because less of less income at individual level, and increased expenditure for care and support - Funds used by salaried ill and inactive teachers and other educational personnel - Wasted investment in training of teachers - High replacement costs - Fragmented financing approaches	- Advocacy at central, district and local levels - Resource mobilisation - Increased counseling and treatment access for infected teachers and students - Promotion of sector-wide approaches	- Mobilise resources and capacity building to facilitate the attainment of EFA goals - Establish cross-sectoral and inter-agency collaboration, which can include sharing of technical and human resources
Measures learning outcomes	- Inadequate indicators to measure the challenges posed by HIV and AIDS - Lack of reliable data and evidence	- Identification of appropriate indicators - Capacity building (technical assistance, training) in gathering reliable data and evidence	Actions to preserve the key missions of education: Monitor national EFA Plans of Action

PHOTOS

Cover photos: ©Travis Sherer 2005, Courtesy of Photoshare; ©Liz Gilbert/David and Lucile Packard Foundation 2000, Courtesy of Photoshare; ©UNAIDS/G. Pirozzi; ©Anil Gulati 2006, Courtesy of Photoshare

Photos:

p.8 ©Liz Gilbert/David and Lucile Packard Foundation 2000, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.11 ©Liz Gilbert/David and Lucile Packard Foundation 2000, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.12 ©Tjekisa James Hall 2004, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.13 ©UNAIDS/G. Pirozzi
p.14 ©UNESCO, Uzbekistan
p.15 ©Anil Gulati 2006, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.16 ©UNAIDS/G. Pirozzi
p.17 ©Ingrid Hesling 2004, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.19 ©UNAIDS/G. Pirozzi
p.20 ©UNAIDS/G. Pirozzi
p.21 ©Liz Gilbert/David and Lucile Packard Foundation 2000, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.23 ©UNAIDS/G. Pirozzi
p.24 ©Travis Sherer 2005, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.25 ©Todd Shapera 2002, Courtesy of Photoshare
p.27 ©UNAIDS/O.O'Hanlon
p.28 ©Treatment Action Campaign (TAC) 2005

Please note that the photos credited to Photoshare reflect specific projects, which are not necessarily those of the publishing organizations:

p.15 Community meeting in the Rajnandgoan district in the state of Chhattisgarh, India
©Anil Gulati 2006, Courtesy of Photoshare

p.16 Students participate in the Health Competent School Initiative after-school program at an elementary school in Mafraq, Jordan
©Basil Safi 2006, Courtesy of Photoshare

p.17 A school girl from Primary I Class at the Odumase School in the Central Region of Ghana demonstrates the importance of thorough hand-washing with soap and water
©Ingrid Hesling 2004, Courtesy of Photoshare

p.24 School children in the village of Lateh, Kenya greet an HIV/AIDS testing and education group
©Travis Sherer 2005, Courtesy of Photoshare

p.25 Two students at a school in Ho, Ghana finger their homeland on their new map of the world
©Todd Shapera 2002, Courtesy of Photoshare

Irish Aid provided financial support for the publication of this report.

The designations employed and the presentation of materials throughout this document do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO or any of the members of the IATT concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or its authorities, or concerning its frontiers and boundaries.

©UNESCO 2006

Published by UNESCO 7 place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP
Email: info-iatt@unesco.org
IATT website: www.unesco.org/aids/iatt

Design and layout were undertaken by Aurélia Mazoyer

Printed by: UNESCO
ED-2006/WS/27- CLD 27702

This paper presents a framework for quality education to show how education systems can and must change in their analysis and conduct in relation to HIV and AIDS. It summarises the 10 dimensions of the framework, considers how HIV and AIDS impact each of these dimensions and summarises some practical applications of how education can and should respond to the pandemic from a quality perspective.

The paper was developed for the UNAIDS Inter-Agency Task Team (IATT) on Education. The IATT on Education is convened by UNESCO and includes as members the UNAIDS Co-sponsoring agencies, bi-lateral agencies and private donors, and civil society. The IATT on Education aims to accelerate and improve the education sector response to HIV and AIDS.

For more information on the IATT on Education, visit <http://www.unesco.org/aids/iatt>